

United States Patent and Trademark Office

0

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,891	08/25/2003	Takuji TANAKA	031032	1890
38834	7590 07/23/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			LEE, EUGENE	
SUITE 700	250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW JUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036	2815		
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/604,891	TANAKA, TAKUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene Lee	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 August 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to possible to the examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification is objected to be specification.	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 thru 8, drawn to semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 100.
 - II. Claims 9 thru 12, drawn to method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as the product made and the process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, as an alternative to the methods set forth in claims 9-12, instead of forming a thin first film and removing only a lower portion, one could have formed a first film which did not need a removing of a lower portion.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,891 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\$

Eugene Lee

July 18, 2004

